UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY UNITED STATES COAST GUARD

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD,

Complainant,

VS.

MICHAEL GEORGE,

Respondent.

Docket Number 2025-0331 Enforcement Activity No. 8165207

DEFAULT ORDER

Issued: August 21, 2025

By Administrative Law Judge: Honorable Timothy G. Stueve

Appearances:

Steven Baker USCG S&R National Center of Expertise

For the Coast Guard

Michael George, pro se

For Respondent

Background

On June 23, 2025, the Coast Guard filed a Complaint against Michael George (Respondent). The Return of Service for Complaint filed by the Coast Guard indicates the Complaint was delivered to Respondent's residence by Express Courier Service on June 25, 2025 and signed for by Respondent. (Attachment A¹).

On July 22, 2025, the Coast Guard filed a Motion for Default Order (Motion), explaining Respondent failed to file an Answer, and the response time has passed. See 33 C.F.R. § 20.308. The Return of Service for Motion for Default states the Motion was delivered to Respondent's residence by Federal Express on July 25, 2025 and signed for by Respondent. (Attachment B²). The Acting Chief Administrative Law Judge assigned the matter to me on August 19, 2025.

Discussion

The applicable regulations require a respondent to "file a written answer to the complaint 20 days or less after service of the complaint." 33 C.F.R. § 20.308(a). An administrative law judge (ALJ) may find a respondent in default "upon failure to file a timely answer to the complaint or, after motion, upon failure to appear at a conference or hearing without good cause shown." 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(a). Default constitutes an admission of all facts alleged in a complaint and a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing on those facts. 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(c).

The Complaint filed by the Coast Guard and properly served on Respondent contained instructions that clearly stated "YOU MUST RESPOND TO THIS COMPLAINT WITHIN 20 DAYS" and provided the applicable regulatory provision, 33 C.F.R. § 20.308. The instructions

¹ The Fedex Proof of Service attached to the Return of Service for the Complaint shows that "M. George" signed for the document.

² The Fedex Proof of Service attached to the Return of Service for the Motion for Default indicates that "M. George" signed for the document.

also informed Respondent an extension of time could be requested "within 20 days" of receipt.

Respondent failed to respond to the Complaint or the Motion for Default Order.

Accordingly, I find Respondent in default pursuant to 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(a). Default constitutes an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and a waiver of the right to a hearing. 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(c). See Appeal Decision 2682 (REEVES) (2008).

Turning to the allegations in the Complaint, the Coast Guard alleges on March 8, 2025, the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) determined Respondent does not meet the security threat assessment standards described in 49 C.F.R. § 1572.5, poses an imminent security threat in accordance with 49 C.F.R. § 1572.21(d)(3), and revoked Respondent's TWIC in accordance with 49 C.F.R. § 1572.5(b). As a result of TSA's actions, the Coast Guard asserts Respondent is a security risk as described by 46 U.S.C. § 7703(5).

Having concluded Respondent admitted TSA revoked his TWIC, and all other facts in the Complaint, I agree TSA's determination that Respondent is not eligible to hold a TWIC is proof that a mariner is not eligible to hold an MMC. See 46 C.F.R. §§ 10.235(h) and 10.235(i). Based on these admissions, I find these facts as admitted are legally sufficient to find the single charge that Respondent is a security risk as described in 46 U.S.C. § 7703(5) **PROVED**. Id.

I find the facts alleged in the Complaint sufficient to warrant the suggested sanction of **REVOCATION**. See 46 C.F.R. §§ 10.235(h) and 10.235(i).

WHEREFORE,

ORDER

Upon consideration of the record, I find Respondent in **DEFAULT**.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, in accordance with 33 C.F.R. § 20.310, I find the allegations set forth in the Complaint PROVED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, all of Respondent's Coast Guard issued credentials,

including Respondent's Merchant Mariner Credential (MMC), are **REVOKED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, Respondent shall immediately deliver all Coast Guard

issued credentials, licenses, certificates, or documents, including the MMC, by mail, courier

service, or in person to: USCG Suspension & Revocation National Center of Expertise, 100

Forbes Drive, Martinsburg, WV 25404. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 2197, if Respondent

knowingly continues to use the Coast Guard issued MMC, Respondent may be subject to

criminal prosecution.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(e), for good cause

shown, an ALJ may set aside a finding of default. A motion to set aside a finding of default may

be filed with the ALJ Docketing Center in Baltimore. The motion may be sent to the U.S. Coast

Guard Administrative Law Judge Docketing Center; Attention: Hearing Docket Clerk; Room

412; 40 S. Gay Street; Baltimore, MD 21201-4022.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, service of this Default Order on the parties serves as notice

of appeal rights set forth in 33 C.F.R. § 20.1001-20.1004 (Attachment C).

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Timothy G. Stueve Administrative Law Judge

U.S. Coast Guard

Done and dated August 21, 2025, at Alameda, California